

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/904,665	07/16/2001	Ki-Hyub Sung	P56406	6179	
7590 07/29/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert E. Bushnell			CHANG, YEAN HSI		
Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20005			2835		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

أخو		<i>,</i>
-----	--	----------

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/904,665	SUNG, KI-HYUB	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yean-Hsi Chang	2835	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 8,9,18 and 19. Claim(s) rejected: 3,5-7,10,15-17 and 20-32.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. **REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1/449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Yean-Hsi Chang **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 2835

The answers to most Applicant's auguments can be found in office action mailed on 4/25/05. Additional answers follows.

- 1. Applicant gives the definition of a rib, i.e., sometlling resembling a rib in form, position or use, as a supporting or strengthening part. The part 57b' of Nakamura projecting from a peripheral surface of the bezel 53, has a rib in form as shown in fig. 10A, and is used as a strengthening part to 57, so it is proper to be considered as a rib;
- 2. Applicant argues, "there are no stops necessary to prevent the panel support from moving across a plane of the panel" of Nakamura. The "stops" are shown in fig. 7B of Nakamura, whether or not "there are no stops necessary .. " would not eliminate the "stops" being there. And the reasons of having "stops" there, are not in the 102 rejection of the office action, and the reasons may have been "obvious" to one having ordiary skill in the art;
- 3. Applicant does not understand the statement on page 8, paragraph 9, subparagraph 4). It means that the modification of duplcation and rearrangement of essential working parts of a device of Nakamura is not the intention and desire of Nakamura, so, it may not be able to be found in the specification of Nakamura;
- 4. Applicant does not understand the statement on page 8, paragraph 9, subparagraph 5). It means that the combination of Nakamura and Lee is proper; and
- 5. The rejection on page 5, paragraph 6 contains errors to be corrected, "Lee"s should be changed to Nakamura. The examiner appreciates Applicant's notification of the errors

Continuation of 13. Other: The request which is not signatured, for personal interview with Mr. Michael Parker on Aug. 11, 2005, 1:00 pm is acceptable.